

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action, dated April 7, 2005, Applicant has amended the figures to include descriptive labels. Additionally, Applicant has amended the Abstract and specification as requested. Consequently, Applicant requests that these objections be withdrawn.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 rejections set forth by the Examiner. Applicant submits that the references of record, whether considered alone or in combination, fail to either teach or suggest Applicant's presently claimed invention.

Applicants have modified the claims to specify that an entertainment system receives a single user selection and transfers program and auxiliary data from a first storage device to a second storage device. This is advantageous because it provides an efficient manner in which to quickly transfer programs and auxiliary data onto a second storage device. As a result, a user can save time because he or she need not use menus or activate other systems to transfer programs and auxiliary information. The present invention is far superior to the present systems which require that a user invoke menus and directories to transfer programs.

Hassell et al., U.S. Publication No. 2004/0128685, is directed to an interactive program guide (IPG) with storage that allows the IPG to be used to provide more advanced features such as store information associated with recorded programs and create a global media library. See paragraphs [0006] and [0009]. More specifically, Hassell et al. discloses


that the IPG may allow a user to transfer programs stored on a digital storage device to other volumes of the storage device or to a secondary storage device. See paragraph [0081]. Hassell et. al. discloses that if the user interface is a remote, a user may use a "record" or "transfer" button when in a super-program screen, or may select a "transfer" option from an on-screen list of options provided by the IPG. However, the presently claimed invention is patentably different than Hassell because they allow a user to actuate a transfer without requiring use of menus or options. There is simply no teaching or suggestion regarding this advance in the art.

Consequently, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections be withdrawn. Applicants respectfully submit that all claims now stand in condition for allowance.

Date:

7/04/05

Respectfully submitted,



(Reg. #26,494)

Todd S. Parkhurst
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLC
131 S. Dearborn, 30th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Tel: (312) 263-3600
Attorney for Applicant

Appl. No. 09/875,547
Amdt. Dated October 7, 2005
Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2005



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States
Postal Service as First Class Mail on 70405 in an envelope addressed to:

**Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450**



Attorney for Applicants

3201804_v1

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

Figures 2 and 3 have been descriptively labeled as requested by the Examiner.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet 1 (Fig. 2)
Annotated Sheet 1
Replacement Sheet 2 (Fig. 3)
Annotated Sheet 2




Figure 1
Prior Art




Fig. 2

300 →




Fig. 3